EVA STALIN IAS ACADEMY - BEST IAS COACHING IN CHENNAI

12/24, Muthurangan Muthali St, West Tambaram, Chennai - 600045

https://www.evastaliniasacademy.in/

Contact Number - +91-8678969915, +91-9940332851

The triumph of Vachathi over a hostile state

he Madras High Court's judgment, on September 29, 2023, upholding the human rights of the people of Vachathi in Tamil Nadu is remarkable – as a constitutional court, it unmasked and recognised that coordinated and large-scale repression by uniformed forces cannot take place without orders from or complicity at the top levels of the

The court said, "In order to safeguard the actual smugglers and the big-shots, the revenue officers, police officials and also the forest officials, with the help of the then Government, played a big stage drama (sic), in which the innocent tribal women got affected." In the context of the large-scale violence we see today by law enforcement agents on the common man, the decision assumes importance. The repression of the anti-Sterlite protests, the student protests in Jawaharlal Nehru University and elsewhere, the bulldozer raj in Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, the repression against tribals and the violence in Manipur are but a few instances.

The villagers of Vachathi have created history and the court verdict is testament to their resolu it is an assertion of their dignity. This is among the rarest cases in the annals of legal history where all 215 accused (the survivors of the 269 accused), government and law enforcement personnel stand convicted en masse of offences under The Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and the Indian Penal Code. Rigorous imprisonment ranging from one to 10 years with fine has also been imposed.

State-orchestrated violence A recollection of some of the events at Vachathi, a

remote village of Adivasis in Dharmapuri district, Tamil Nadu is necessary. On June 20, 1992, about 300 uniformed officials unleashed violence on the village on the pretext of unearthing smuggled sandalwood. Eighteen women includir who was pregnant were raped. And, 90 women, 28 children and 15 men were illegally confined in the Forest Ranger's office. The custodians of law ordered the "Oor Gounder" (the village chief) to strip the women. The women were then asked to beat him with brooms. Several villagers were sent to Central Jail, Salem. The officials continued the plunder and violence, forcing the villagers to flee to the forests. After visits by members of the Tamil Nadu Tribals Association and the All India Democratic Women's Association in July 1992, A. Nallasiwan, the then State Secretary, CPI(M) wrote to then Tamil Nadu Chief Minister J. Jayalalithaa. K.A. Sengottaiyan, Minister for Forests, proclaimed that the complaint was fabricated. The government machinery down to the District Collector, the Revenue Divisional Officer, the



Superintendent of Police and the Chief Conservator of Forests failed to take action despite representations. Former IAS officer, Ms mathi, who was Director of the National Commission of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Tamil Nadu, Kerala, Puducherry and Lakshadweep) was the only official who sent a report of her findings to the National Commission of SC/ST. Yet, no first information report was

A public interest litigation by A. Nallasivan was stoutly opposed by the State through its Advocate-General, the highest law officer. In 1995, the High Court relied on Ms. Bhamathi's report to rebuff the state, directed the supply of basic needs and asked the Central Bureau of Investigation to investigate.
It has been a long wait for justice. Why so? The

obduracy of the state to deny even mandatory interim relief to victimised Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes , the false cases that the police foisted on innocent villagers and the multitude of petitions by the accused to stall the trial with tacit apport of the state were a few of manifold

Absence of command liability However, the main reason for the delay is clear in the court's observation: "It is not the situation that a private individual committed the offence and a single victim made complaint" and that "evidence of the victims clearly show that they were threatened by the uniform force not to reveal the sexual assault committed by the uniform force and if it was... they would take away the life of the individual or their family

embers". Why was this state-organised collective crim dealt with as any other individual crime? Is this not a monumental flaw? When crimes are committed by agents of the state, should not "command responsibility" and culpability be fixed on the heads of departments and the Ministers too? The focus of evidence, onus and degree of proof and culpability would then shift. The trial was protracted and justice delayed because of the gross lacuna in the criminal justice system. Our criminal laws do not provide special procedures, evidentiary principles and criminal liability for such organised crimes by state actors The prosecution had to prove the guilt of each of those accused as if an individual offence had been

In line with Principle 24 of the UN Basic Principles on the Use of Force and Firearms by Law Enforcement Officials, law enforcement agencies must adopt measures to ensure tha superior officers are held responsible if they know, or should have known, that officials under nand are resorting, or have resorted, to a violation of human rights, and they did not take measures to prevent, or report such use.

In numerous judgments, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in South America has established that factors relevant to fix the responsibility of superiors are: knowledge of risk by state officials and the duty to know of the existence of a real and immediate risk to life and/or physical integrity, and the reasonable possibilities of preventing or avoiding that risk. Therefore, ignorance of actual occurrence cannot be claimed if superiors did not exercise adequate supervision and control. Article 28 of the Rome Statute followed by the International Court of Justice also follows the principle of command responsibility. In India, the Prevention of Communal and Targeted Violence (Access to Justice and Reparations) Bill has lapsed. The new criminal law Bills introduced by the central government as an exercise to decolonise old laws do not recognise organised violence by state agents as a separate class of crime and provide no speedy remedy. State violence is a colonial legacy and is anathema in a democratic republic.

STs have been oppressed historically and the

law treats sexual and targeted violence against them as aggravated atrocities fit for rigorous punishment. Command liability in the case of Vachathi is writ large. The High Court has, therefore, ingeniously fastened the responsibility on the state to pay the enhanced compensation of ₹10 lakh and to ensure a job for each rape survivor. Stringent action has been directed against the then District Collector, Superintendent of Police and the District Forest Officer. Is there no accountability and culpability to be fixed on the political executive? The case has thrown up the urgent need to amend the criminal law to fix command responsibility and consequent stringent penalty

Aggravated trauma
The atrocities happened two decades before
'Nirbhaya' (2012) that shook the nation's
conscience. Criminal law amendments of 2013 and subsequent judicial decisions regarding non-disclosure of the identity of rape survivors, gender sensitive investigation and trial to prevent further victimisation and facility of medico-legal and psychological support were unavailable. For the 18 rape survivors, the full public disclosure of their identities, accompanied by harsh cross examinations on behalf of not one but several accused during the trial amounted to aggravated trauma. But they overcame a hostile state and an archaic and unfriendly criminal justice system. Many are now educated and are full participan in the economic and political activities in the area. These women are the true heroes of

State-backed violence is anathema in a democratic republic and only highlighted the need to amend the criminal law to fix command responsibility and ensure penalty

EVA STALIN IAS ACADEMY - BEST IAS COACHING IN CHENNAI

12/24, Muthurangan Muthali St, West Tambaram, Chennai - 600045

https://www.evastaliniasacademy.in/

Contact Number - +91-8678969915, +91-9940332851

EVA STALIN IAS ACADEMY - BEST IAS COACHING IN CHENNAI

12/24, Muthurangan Muthali St, West Tambaram, Chennai - 600045

https://www.evastaliniasacademy.in/

Contact Number - +91-8678969915, +91-9940332851

The trouble with a Nobel for mRNA COVID vaccines

he 2023 Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine has been awarded to Katalin Karikó and Drew Weissman for developing the mRNA vaccine technology that became the foundation for history's fastest vaccine development programme during the COVID-19 pandemic. The prizes acknowledge work that has created benefits "for all mankind", but if we had to be stricter about holding scientific accomplishments up to this standard, the subset of mRNA vaccines used during the COVID-19 pandemic may not meet it. Yet, Dr. Karikó and Dr. Weissman, and others, deserved to win the prize for their scientific accomplishments. Instead, their triumph tells us something important about the world in which science happens and what "for all mankind"

should really mean.
Dr. Kariko and Dr. Weissman began working together on the mRNA platform at the University of Pennsylvania in the late 1990s. The University licensed its patents to mRNA RiboTherapeutics, which sublicensed them to CellScript, which sublicensed them to Moderna and BioNTech for \$75 million each. Dr. Karikō joined BioNTech as senior vice-president in 2013, and the company enlisted Pfizer to develop its mRNA vaccine for COVID-19 in 2020.

At the expense of public funds

Much of the knowledge that underpins most new drugs and vaccines is unearthed at the expense of governments and public funds. This part of drug development is more risky and protracted, when scientists identify potential biomolecular targets within the body on which a drug could act in order to manage a particular disease, followed by



Vasudevan Mukumth

The triumph of Katalin Kariko and Drew Weissman tells us something important about the world in which science happens and what for all mankind' should really mean identifying suitable chemical candidates. The cost and time estimates of this phase are \$1billion-\$2.5 billion and several decades, respectively.

Companies subsequently commoditise and commercialise these entities, raking in millions in profits, typically at the expense of the same people whose taxes funded the fundamental research. There is something to be said for this model of drug and vaccine development, particularly for the innovation it fosters and the eventual competition that lowers prices, but we cannot deny the 'double-spend' it imposes on consumers – including governments – and the profit-seeking attitude it engenders among the companies developing and manufacturing the product.

Once Moderna and Pfizer began producing their mRNA COVID-19 vaccines, they were also mired in North American and European countries' zeal to make sure they had more than enough for themselves before allowing manufacturers to export them to the rest of the world; their use in other countries (including India) was also complicated by protracted negotiations over pricing and liability.

On COVAX

COVAX, the programme to ensure poorer countries did not become the victims of their subpar purchasing power and had sufficient stocks of mRNA vaccines, fell far short of its targets. India, Russia, and China exported billions of doses of their vaccines, but their efforts were also beset by concerns that manufacturing capacity had been overestimated – in India's case – and over quality in Russia's and China's. There were reports of several countries in Africa having

to throw away lakhs of vaccine doses because they had been exported too close to their expiry dates. The World Health Organization did urge these countries to use the expired doses, but such a task presumed an existing base of community engagement and risk communication, which was absent in many of these countries.

And Corbevas

A counterexample to the path that Dr. Karikó followed is Corbevax: researchers at the Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, and the Texas Children's Hospital Centre for Vaccine Development developed this protein sub-unit vaccine and licensed it to India's Biological E for manufacturing. They did not patent it. In February 2022, Texas politician Lizie Fletcher wrote a letter nominating the vaccine's developers for a Nobel Prize for Peace "for their work to develop and distribute a low-cost COVID-19 vaccine to people of the world without patent limitation". Kenya's Ambassador to the United Nations Martin Kimani commended the developers for "providing sorely needed ethical and scientific leadership".

and scientific leadership".

We cannot blame our scientists for trying to profit from their work; the mRNA vaccine story during the COVID-19 pandemic simply placed an extraordinary premium on altruism on their part—a result of administrators' botched decisions. The technology could have benefited everyone during the pandemic, but it did not. So, history should remember what actually happened during the pandemic and what the 2023 Medicine Nobel claims happened differently.

nukunth.v@thehindu.co.ir

EVA STALIN IAS ACADEMY - BEST IAS COACHING IN CHENNAI

12/24, Muthurangan Muthali St, West Tambaram, Chennai - 600045

https://www.evastaliniasacademy.in/

Contact Number - +91-8678969915, +91-9940332851